G

DUSTRI

ō

DEPAR

Ū EN

OF

 $\leq$ 



MOTIVATION

Additive manufacturing has become a technology that has been developing rapidly in the last 20 years and used by many industries. CE Additive is one of the world-leading companies in the additive manufacturing sector. Acram EBM is a sub-business of GE Additive and it is one of the leading companies in the additive manufacturing sector with the Electron Beam Melting technology. Today, the company have more than 350 systems been installed worldwide with almost 200 customers ploably. Acram EBM is a lap providing alternariast services to the customers. There are field-service engineers (FSEs) constitute aftermarket services. Since the industry is relatively new, and there are only several FSEs for aftermarket services, the decision to allocate FSEs to customer sites has become very important. In addition, since customers are from critical sections such as the avisition and the healthcare industry, the failure of their machines can result in high losses. Again from the FSEs to be capable of maintenance services, the cision of the healthcare industry, the failure of their machines can result in high losses. Again from the FSEs to be capable of maintenance services are considered to the second of the second of the constant annual working time in the customers tile. For this purposes, travel times should be reduced as much as possible. Thus, a decision support system with a long-term tolerance, including strategic level decisions, is required.

In order to increase the effectiveness of management of aftermarket services, there are several factors to improve such as response time to the customer site, travel time spent to provide services, and utilization of FSEs. According to the company, the travel time consumes a great proportion of total yearly working hours of FSEs is none desired for FSEs to spend once time with customers. Considering all these factors an insight providing tool is needed for the allocations of field service rengineers, tracking the aftermarket service operations and locating new hired FSEs. Therefore, our goal is to develop a decision support system which has an robust optimization model that minimizes travel time, balance workloads to FSEs, reduce the response times to service demands in order to give insights to strategic level decisions.

The aim of the project is to generate a decision support system (DSS) that is used for location and allocation decisions of current and possible new hire field service engineers (FSE). DSS includes a robust optimization model that gives best solution considering many different cases could happen in a year, under high uncertainty of customer demands for machine

activities a robust optimization model that gives best solution considering many different cases could happen in a year, under high uncertainty of customer demands for machine failures.

The general structure of Decision Support System

The general structure of the Decision Support System has components, the first part is called as interface, where the basic data is inserted and edited on Excel. This part includes engineer names, locations, customer names, locations, machines that customers have, the coordinates of customers and engineers inserted Live generated a basic Excel forms for the company in order to give them flexibility and maintenance easiness. The second part is data generation and modeling part, this section is not seen or used by decision maker in general use. The data generation is where the algorithms were coded to generate the injust of the model. They are the land traved distance, land travel duration, light distance, flight duration, yearly preventive maintenance visits for each machine, corrective maintenance visits in the section of the model purposes. The machine corrective maintenance visits from the contractive contractive maintenance visits for each machine, corrective maintenance visits from the contractive contractive maintenance visits from each part of the model purposes. The machine corrective maintenance visits from the contractive contractive maintenance visits for each machine, corrective maintenance visits from each machine, corrective maintenance visits from each machine, corrective maintenance visits from each machine, corrective maintenance visits for each machine, corrective maintenance visits from each machine, corrective maintenance visits for each machine, corrective maintenance visits f



Figure 1. General View of the Decision Support System

Scenario Based Optimization
In many optimization models, it is assumed that the data related to the problem are known
precisely. However, this situation is not very common in practice. Actual data are subject to
uncertainty due to their randomness, measurement errors or other reasons. Since the solution of an optimization problem generally shows high sensitivity to data changes, it is necessary not to signore data uncertainty, abboast optimization is an important methodology used
in the solution of optimization problems with data uncertainty. The main reason for choosiing Robust optimization is that in many models it can be used for situations where parameters are uncertain or distributions are unknown. Models that do not care about the uncertainties in the data provide optimization for the problems with a single scenario according to
certain assumptions. However, solutions made according to a single scenario will lose their
effectiveness in case of different situations that may occur in the future. Models prepared
according to a large number of scenarios can cover future situations and these models have
a flexible solution.

a flexible solution. Application of the Scenario Based Robust Optimization
The aim of our problem is not to specifically to assign people to visits when case occurs, or
schedule tasks to hem weekly or daily, the aim is to make decisions for locating the FSE, or
schedule tasks to hem weekly or daily, the aim is to make decisions for locating the FSE, or
hiring new FSE and locating them in cornect locations, so that when cases occur in future,
the capacity would be enough the FSE sould provide services a early as possible and mini-

ning new riscs and locating them in correct locations, so that when cases occur in future, the capacity would be enough, the PSS could provide services as early as possible and minimize the travel time.

At its understood from those objectives, they are strategic and insight oriented, and the more objectives of a column that would salify expectation of declinon makes for future possibilities and a column that would salify expectation of declinon makes for future possibilities and a column to the second strategic and the second strategic and second strategic and second strategic and strategic and second strategic and second strategic and second second strategic and second second second second strategic second survey and strategic second strategic second se





Generate 10000 scenarios using probabilities of fa Calculate E(x) for total visits in a year for all scenar Calculate o of all scenarios for total visits in a year

-Calculate of all scenarios for total visits in year -For all scenarios openerated: low\_sulues[] - sif total visits of scenario between E(b) - 2a and E(b) - a lowlist -> select rand (2.4) of low\_values[] high\_sulues[] - sif total visits of scenario between E(b) + a and E(b) highlist -> select rand (2.4) of high\_values[] midvalues[] - sif total visits of scenario between E(b) + a midlist -> select 10 - count of highlist - count of lowlist 1 of midvalues[] return selectedscenarios Bhighlist + lowlist + midlist

### Analysis of Scenario Selection

We expected averages of means of selected subsets (each consist of 10 scenario) would converge to the population mean, therefore, we repeated the experiment of scenario selection for 1000 times and plotted the differences of averages of subset, and the expected population mean, all are calculated for total number of tasks that could happen in a year. If may not cover and represent entire population, yet we could come up with an idea that includes 'edge' case that are likely but not very close to means by using this method, which could be very useful and practical for business uses to obtain meaningfu



, st he graph in figure 2 shows the averages of subsets are close to expected population even the fluctuations do not exceed +6, this fluctuation could be acceptable and shows variability of the subsets (samples).

Skills ( Senario ): MonthLim AllowFSE

The travel time of each FSE is at each visit.]
The service time spent on visit.}
If the task is needed to be completed in month m, 0 otw.
If SE is allowed to be assigned to task j, 0 otherwise.
If task j, is in scenario s.
Maximum load in a month.
Number of FSEs allowed to assign tasks.

I if FSE i is assigned to visit j. in month m. in scenario s, 0 oth. If FSE i is working thired currently working), 0 oth. Total load for each FSE in scenario s Upper bound of FSE bads in scenario s Upper bound of FSE loads in Scenario s Total time spent on travel by all FSE in scenario s Upper bound of total reverse the scenario s Total time spent on travel by all FSE in scenarios Total time spent exposured in the scenarios Total time short exposured in the scenarios Total time based response in scenarios Upper bound of total response times for all scenarios Upper bound of total response times for all scenarios Load II Up II UpMax totaltravel II totaltravelMax

w1\* UpMax + w2\* totaltravelMax + w3 \* totalresponseMax (1)

# thLimit , Vim (13) $\begin{array}{lll} X_{ijmn} \in \{0,1\} &, & \forall \, ijms \\ Y_i \geq 0 &, & \forall \, i \end{array}$ (15)

After the data generation process, model input file is generated for optimization. New hired FSEs are also considered in order to give insights to company. The model is generated using Python and exported to Excel files as the tables later dashboards using Tableau are shown as follows.

### Table 1. Located FSEs are allocated to tasks in given month

-										
	0.746.1mm						No recipies			
		mark h		_	-	_	2412			
	**CONTRACTOR	-		_		2.0	MATERIAL STATE			
				_			had believed			
market by	A S LA COMMA	THE R. P.		_	-		AND DESIGNATION OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IN COLUM			
							But the color			
							Acres de la companya del la companya de la companya		-	
				miles, led						
							AN MARKET		-	-
							PH 3070	1	1	-
	CARLON C	-	C Ri				plant Spiller (Spiller) plant Additional			£
	Canada S	ee	-				-			£xc
	Constitution of	ee	-				17 (ST 10) 17 (ST 10) 17 (ST 10) 17 (ST 10)			Com
		==	1			I				Com
Ē		==	1				17 (ST 10) 17 (ST 10) 17 (ST 10) 17 (ST 10)			Com

The post processed outcomes which are determined as the KPIs of the aftermarket e managements are as follows: Total travel time of FSEs

- total travel time of FSEs Total time spent at customer site of FSEs Total workload of FSEs Total number of visits Total number of on time visits in a year Workload share of FSEs per machine type Occupied FSEs (utility levels)

Travel Time Following dashboard shows travel time analysis with respect to machines, FSEs



On Time Visits
Similar to travel time analysis, on time visits results are as follows with respect to machine type, FSEs and service types.



FSE Visits and Utility
Compnay is able to track the utility of FSEs with resp
year and machine type. Monthly visit views are also



## Hired FSE Analysis







